

Analysis of Accessibility Problems in Medical Devices

Mariana Ribeiro Brandão
Institute of Biomedical Engineering (IEB-UFSC)
Federal University of Santa Catarina
Florianópolis, Brazil
e-mail: marianaribeirobrandao@gmail.com

Renato Garcia Ojeda
Institute of Biomedical Engineering (IEB-UFSC)
Federal University of Santa Catarina
Florianópolis, Brazil
e-mail: renato.garcia.ojeda@ufsc.br

Abstract— The availability of an affordable medical device is critical in the provision of healthcare to ensure that technology is not a barrier for users. It is essential to understand the accessibility issues present in medical devices to serve the diverse population of patients with varying limitations, abilities and disabilities. With the aim of promoting and discussing the impacts of accessibility in medical devices, this project aims to analyze accessibility problems in medical devices. A rapid review of the literature was prepared and a model for applying usability methods throughout the life cycle of health technologies was proposed to establish strategies to improve accessibility and mitigate risks. This work found a large number of accessibility problems involving different types of medical devices, as well as the lack of accessible technologies in healthcare environments. Different actions to provide a more inclusive and accessible health technology management throughout the life cycle were proposed, such as incorporating user-oriented development, training and development of standard operating procedures.

Keywords-Accessibility; Medical Devices; Health Technology Management.

I. INTRODUCTION

The availability of an affordable medical device is critical in the provision of healthcare to ensure that technology is not a barrier to users [1][2]. To achieve the benefits for which the medical device was developed, it requires a safe and reliable technology-user interaction, so that errors in use by users do not cause harm, compromising the health of the population [3]. Therefore, a combination of human-centered project development, ergonomics, and accessibility tools, is necessary to ensure a high quality use of technological resources [4].

Considering accessibility aspects in the development of health technologies is essential to ensure inclusion and improve usability. Accessibility is defined in ABNT NBR 17060:2022 as follows: accessibility on mobile devices consists of the scope in which products, systems, services, environments and facilities can be used by people from a population with the widest variety of characteristics and capabilities, to achieve a specific objective in a specific context of use [5]. Incorporating usability into the projects aims to expand the target population, making technologies accessible to more people in different contexts of use [6]. In Brazil, the population with disabilities was estimated at 18.6 million (considering people aged 2 and over). The number corresponds to 8.9% of the population in this age

group [7]. In the world, this number is estimated at 1.3 billion, representing 16% of the world's population [8]. According to law N°. 13.146, of July 6, 2015, which establishes the Brazilian law on the inclusion of people with disabilities, every person with a disability has the right to equal opportunities with other people and will not suffer any type of discrimination. In addition, people with disabilities are being guaranteed comprehensive health care at all levels of complexity, with universal and equal access [9].

However, people with disabilities often do not have the opportunity to receive quality healthcare and sometimes have access to insufficient healthcare [10]. As technologies are increasingly present in healthcare, and are incorporated to assist users in their safer and more reliable use, consideration of accessibility aspects in technological development becomes a fundamental requirement to achieve the usability of a product [3]. Incorporating principles and methodologies considering usability and accessibility must be strategic business objectives, being essential to optimize performance, minimize undesirable consequences for human beings, maximize the well-being of the entire organization and improve relationships with customers [6].

The tool used to evaluate human interaction with a product is usability, and its consideration in health is fundamental and useful for evaluating the user experience [11]. Usability is a metric used to measure how much a product can be used by certain users and achieve specific objectives, when considering parameters such as effectiveness, efficiency and satisfaction in a context of use [12]. For a product or process to have good usability, it is necessary to consider different parameters and measure them with the intended users, such as effectiveness, efficiency, satisfaction, use, learning and accessibility. Accessibility is determined by the ease of access to the products necessary to complete the objective by people with the widest variety of capabilities [6][13]. When considering accessibility, it allows clarity and simplicity in design for people who may temporarily have some limitations or those who have them permanently [13].

The development of a product or service centered on the user's needs and perspective, integrated with their context and tasks, is called User-Centered Development [14]. It consists of an approach to developing usable and useful systems in an interactive way, with an emphasis on users when considering their needs, through the incorporation of ergonomic knowledge and techniques. There is a diversity

of usability methods that aim to support human-centered design, used to increase the usability of a product or system, which can be used in both design and evaluation. Some methods consist of: user observations; questionnaires; critical incident analysis; interviews; think out loud; document-based methods, among others [15]. Accessibility must be included as part of the human-centered project, so that it can expand the population that can use technologies effectively, efficiently and satisfactorily, and consequently, increase usability for all users [6].

Healthcare accessibility is essential in providing medical care to people with disabilities. Due to barriers, individuals with disabilities are less likely to receive routine preventative medical care than people without disabilities. Accessibility is not only required by law, but is also crucial for the inclusion of all people in the use of health technologies [2]. Work involving accessibility in medical equipment reinforces the problems surrounding technologies, as presented in the research conducted by Story et al., which showed harm to people with disabilities when using scales, examination tables and diagnostic imaging equipment [10]. Other equipment and description of accessibility problems will be presented in this article in Section III.

Due to the importance of considering accessibility to ensure the inclusion of all people in the use of medical equipment, this work aims to carry out a rapid review of the literature in search of evidence as well as provide a model for incorporating in Health Technology Management.

The rest of the article is structured as follows. In Section II, we discuss the methodology used in the research. In Section III, the results are elucidated. In Section IV, we discuss the results found. Section V concludes the work with a summary and future research directions.

II. MATERIALS AND METHODS

This work was conducted in two stages. The first phase consists of the rapid literature review and the second phase consists of the proposal for a model that incorporates accessibility tools into the life cycle of a medical device in order to contribute to the safe management of health technologies. To explore accessibility in medical devices and discuss the contribution of Clinical Engineering to making healthcare environments more inclusive, a rapid review was carried out in the literature, which consists of a reliable and systematized methodology to synthesize knowledge. This approach is used when steps in the process of a systematic review are simplified to produce information from the selection of research that is available in the literature, and that is relevant to a study topic [16]. The constant increase in the amount of research carried out in the literature requires the implementation of an approach to evaluate published studies and contribute to decision-making, and thus provide an updated summary of the state of knowledge [17].

The conduct of this rapid review was based on the Methodological Guideline of the Ministry of Health for the

preparation of systematic reviews [18], as well as on the PRISMA methodology of the University of Oxford, which consists of a set of evidence-based items that aim to assist in the presentation of research results [19]. The guiding question of the rapid review research proposed for this case study was: **“What is the evidence of accessibility issues in medical devices?”**

To answer this question, the search strategy used was through the definition of keywords to identify publications that respond to this theme. The use of the logical operators “AND” and “OR” helped in the literature search. The search in the databases was executed using the union of keywords: (“*medical device*” OR “*medical equipment*”) AND (“*accessibility*” OR “*disabled people*” OR “*disabled person*” OR “*disability*”) during the time period from January until February, 2024. The search was implemented in the following electronic databases: IEEE *Xplore* and Pubmed, which were used systematically. To determine the choice of articles, inclusion and exclusion criteria were established, which included population parameters of the intended technology, the type of intervention used, the availability of the work, the date of publication and the type of evaluation of the results. After the initial search, the date of publication, the titles and abstracts were read, selecting a total of 12 publications. Table I explains the number of articles found per database using keywords.

TABLE I. NUMBER OF ARTICLES FOUND PER DATABASE.

Database	<i>"medical device"</i> OR <i>"medical equipment"</i>)	<i>("accessibility" OR "disabled people" OR "disabled person" OR "disability")</i>	<i>("medical device*" OR "medical equipment*")</i> AND <i>("accessibility" OR "disabled people" OR "disabled person" OR "disability")</i>
Pubmed	38.722	488.575	722
IEEE Xplore	11.512	20.788	139

The second stage of this work was to propose a model that incorporates accessibility during all activities of the life cycle, hence contributing to the Health Technology Management in pre-market and post-market.

III. RESULTS

The results obtained through a quick literature review highlighted accessibility problems in different types of medical devices, such as examination tables [20]-[22], weight scales [23][24], nebulizers [25], glucometers [26], positive airway pressure device [27], neuromodulation devices [28], mammography [29]. The usability techniques applied to explore and investigate the problems were

mainly: questionnaires, interviews, focus groups and usability testing.

In the studies analyzed, it was found that medical devices are often not accessible to the entire population. Story *et al.* highlighted problems faced by patients with disabilities who have difficulties using different types of medical equipment. The four main equipments with the biggest reported problems were: tables; radiology equipment; rehabilitation and exercise equipment and weight scales. Possible physical damage and incorrect reading of display values were the most recurrent problems, followed by physical positioning and transfer of patients on medical equipment [10].

The absence of accessible medical equipment was presented in some studies, such as the research conducted by Morris *et al.* in outpatient clinics [20], which converges with Mudrick *et al.* research that found the absence of adjustable exam tables and accessible weight scales in a large part of offices analyzed [21]. Iezzoni *et al.* showed that doctors do not use accessible exam tables/chairs for patients, and that many doctors simply ask the weight of patients with mobility limitations [22]. Agaronnik *et al.* presented in her study that medical diagnostic equipment, such as examination tables, scales and diagnostic imaging equipment are often inaccessible. Even if doctors have accessible equipment (e.g., examination tables), they do not always use them [24].

Accessibility in glucose monitoring system

Technologies used by people with diabetes such as glucose monitor and continuous glucose monitoring systems, have presented accessibility problems in the design of the device that can impact on the erroneous administration of medication. The patients and/or health professionals use the results of the devices to make decisions. Some of the problems highlighted in glucometers are low-contrast displays that are difficult to see for people with low vision [39], test equipment without color contrast [40], absence of speech output, small visual display and high levels of reflection [26].

Study conducted by Akturk, highlights many difficulties healthcare professionals face in initiating diabetes technologies in visually impaired patients with diabetes. This calls for restructuring education and industry support for providers to help them successfully integrate diabetes technologies to improve outcomes among challenging patients with diabetes [41].

Recommendations such as having a sufficiently large display and good display quality (good contrast and anti-reflective screen), support for voice handling of the device, tactile markings, and acoustically well-audible output of the measurement result, warnings and alarms should be considered when developing accessible blood glucose monitoring systems [42].

Accessibility in pulse oximeters

Accessibility in medical devices must be considered for all people. Pulse oximeters are a technology that many studies show can overestimate the true oxygen concentrations of these patients, especially at lower oxygen saturations. The overestimation of oxygen saturation in patients has serious clinical implications, as these people may receive insufficient medical care when pulse oximeter measurements suggest that their oxygen saturation is higher than the true value, which can lead to increased mortality [44].

Different retrospective clinical reviews using electronic health record datasets have shown lower accuracy during the use of oximetry and increased bias in patients with dark skin tones, as well as Asians and Indians compared to white patients, increasing the racial and ethnic disparity in health care [43]-[45].

A study conducted by Gottlieb, showed that Asian, Black, and Hispanic patients had higher average SpO₂ readings than White patients for a given blood hemoglobin oxygen saturation. They also received less supplemental oxygen when adjusting for potential confounders, and these disparities appear to be mediated by larger discrepancies between SpO₂ and blood hemoglobin oxygen saturation [44]. Another study of premature neonates found a racial disparity in the measurement of oxygen saturation by pulse oximetry and an increased incidence of occult hypoxemia in black premature babies [46]. Possible causes may include factors inherent in pulse oximeter design, insufficient calibration of devices in black individuals and inadequate standards for device approval [43].

Accessibility in scale weight

The lack of accessible scale weight available in healthcare facilities that could accommodate a wheelchair or other assistive technology is a reality in healthcare settings [10][22][47]. Where wheelchair scales were not available in the doctor's office or clinic, healthcare professionals often asked patients to estimate their own weight, potentially leading to health implications for the patient [10].

The most common accessibility problems identified for scales involved the positioning of the patient, the location and legibility of the visual display and the capacity of the scale. For people with low vision, the lack of color differentiation and no strong contrast was reported by patients as a safety issue. Visually impaired people were often unable to read the scale's display, so recommendations such as a display with large letters (and high contrast) or audible and Braille output [10].

Accessibility in Dental Chair

There are several barriers faced by people with disabilities during care in dental services [48]. One of them

concerns wheelchair users when using the dental chair, especially when transferring for procedures, are unable to transfer independently. Patients with physical disabilities may have difficulty getting up and down in the dental chair, positioning themselves or keeping their balance during the procedure. Transferring patients from wheelchairs not only requires manpower, but can also create unnecessary anxiety and even accidents [49].

New technologies are being developed so that patients can be treated while remaining in their wheelchairs without any transfer. However, the number of services that have these accessible technologies is still small, and there are other types of disabilities besides those that people with disabilities suffer from [49]. Patients with intellectual disabilities during dental care may have difficulties with communication and comprehension, which makes it difficult to understand instructions and consent to treatment. Cases of people with Alzheimer's who would not like to be transferred and obese patients who may be too heavy for the dental chair are other common accessibility problems [50][51].

In a study conducted by Isaque et al., it was found that of 400 people with disabilities participating in the survey. In this total, 31.5% considered the inability to sit in the dental chair as one of the main access barriers in dental services [52]. In convergence with another study, conducted by Kanvani et al., it addresses the transfer to the dental chair and remaining immobile for a long time as one of the main challenges in addition to other aspects of the environment's infrastructure. Excessive height of the dental chair, intrusive position of the dental chair arm, lack of support devices, material of the chair covering, lack of skills of the dental team in the transfer and positioning process are some other reports related to the problems faced by people with disabilities in the use of dental chairs [53].

With regard to the technologies used in dental care for patients with disabilities, in some cases chemical and/or physical restraints are used to ensure compliance and immobility [51].

Accessibility in Diagnostic Medical equipment

Many difficulties reported by disabled patients with imaging equipment are related to the platform associated with the equipment, such as contact surfaces, transfer support and positioning support [10].

Specifically in relation to Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI), frequent reports relate to not being able to take their wheelchairs or scooters into the MRI room (due to the magnetic field) and therefore having to complete multiple, and sometimes difficult, transfers to reach the machine platform [10]. Performing MRI scans on obese patients can also be interfered with due to the capacity supported by the equipment and safely fitting the patient inside the bore [54]. The capacity of the imaging equipment was also reported in the study conducted by Story et al., who reported that

patients were unable to have an MRI scan at their health unit because the diameter of the machine was too small to accommodate them [10].

Accessibility in women health technologies

Women with disabilities encounter a number of barriers to receiving clinical preventive services [53][60], are less likely to have a pap smear, mammogram or breast exam [56] as well as face physical access barriers in the detection and treatment of breast cancer and cervical cancer [57][58].

A study conducted by Story et al., reported that women with disabilities had difficulty maintaining positions during gynecological examinations and that some examination tables and auxiliary components did not offer sufficient support to be able to maintain appropriate positions for examinations or procedures [10]. Lack of accessible diagnostic equipment, such as height-adjustable examination tables and mammography machines, problems accommodating and positioning patients, lack of efficient mammography procedures that meet the needs of women with intellectual disabilities with physical and/or psychiatric limitations are among the challenges that impact on the health of women with disabilities [56][58].

The mammography machine was also the target equipment for research. Yankaskas et al., investigated women with visual, hearing, physical or multiple disabilities on reasons for not returning for regular mammograms. She found that women with multiple limitations were much more likely to report problems with transportation, parking, and accessibility to health services, as well as a lack of medical recommendation for screening [29].

Accessibility in Neuromodulation

Neuromodulation devices also had their accessibility assessed through the application of usability techniques. Glenn has found that most devices incorporate auditory cues, buttons with raised cutouts, speech commands, or other useful features to help people with visual impairments. However, no device has been found that is completely accessible to all users, regardless of visual, auditory and physical limitations [28].

Accessibility in Medical Devices used in Homecare

In addition to medical devices in hospitals, technologies present in the home environment also have accessibility problems, as presented by Blubaugh et al. In his study, the researcher showed that the vast majority of glucometers and blood pressure monitors available on the market have limitations for people with disabilities, especially people with reduced vision [26]. These studies discuss accessibility problems faced with medical devices that compromise the safety of using the technology. Ardehali et al. also studied medical devices used at home, and found in his research that

71% of people with disabilities describe using medical devices as extremely difficult or somewhat difficult [30].

Another study that investigated problems with medical devices in the home was Constance, which explored in detail the types of difficulties experienced by patients with physical/sensory disabilities who use positive airway pressure devices. Problems were reported when performing manual tasks that were difficult for users, such as connecting accessories, changing filters, among others. These demands have contributed to patient frustration and reduced home medical device use [27].

Accessibility in Health Technology Management

In all studies analyzed in the rapid review, accessibility problems were found in medical devices. But there was no evidence of a proposal for a methodology to incorporate accessibility throughout the entire life cycle of technologies, from the development stages to use. Therefore, considering accessibility must be considered at all stages of the life cycle of health technologies, from pre-market to post-market phases, for that, a model was proposed as elucidated in Figure 1. The accessibility should be included in different stages in development of the new technology, and also in planning, acquisition, verification, training, use, decommission and other activities in all life cycles. When applying universal design as a strategy and including people from all ages and abilities throughout the technology lifecycle, from the ideation phase of digital health solutions to development, developers can design solutions with better accessibility. Universal design aims to design products in a safe and autonomous way, in a simple, intuitive way and with equal possibilities of use [5].




Figure 1. Consideration of accessibility in the lifecycle of technology.

The lack of accessible medical devices is among one of the factors that lead to the disparity in health services available to people with disabilities. It is essential to understand the accessibility and safety barriers present in medical devices

used for all types of exams and procedures to meet the diverse population of patients with varying limitations, abilities and disabilities. The model shown in Figure 1 was developed with the application of usability techniques to investigate accessibility problems and improve the usability of medical devices. The application of usability techniques with users at each stage of the life cycle considering population diversity is essential to develop more accessible technologies. The main steps consist of planning the project and defining the objective, studying the technology, choosing the usability techniques to be used, defining the population considering the diversity of users, developing a protocol for applying the technique, applying the protocol with users, analyzing the data, carry out an action plan with preventive strategies and continuously monitor to evaluate effectiveness and seek improvements.

Considering current standards and regulations involving accessibility is a crucial part of the process. Some regulations and documents with accessibility standards for medical devices are listed in Table II.

IV. DISCUSSION

Architectural elements within healthcare facilities represent the most recognized accessibility barriers, but the problems go far beyond stairs and bathrooms. Lack of accessibility in medical equipment is a major concern. More accessible healthcare solutions are critical in promoting equity and achieving health promotion, prevention and security. Consequently, it can help reduce disparity, increase inclusion and make healthcare spaces more equitable.

According to the report of one of the users of the research conducted by Story et al.: “it takes more than ramps to solve the health care crisis for people with disabilities” [10]. It is necessary to develop technologies focused on population diversity through the involvement of users from the initial design process of medical equipment. Continuously carrying out training with the entire team and developing standard operating procedures are other strategies to be implemented by Clinical Engineering together with other actors in order to establish a more accessible healthcare environment. In the pre-market stage of medical device development, the lack of inclusion of user diversity in the design and validation of medical devices can result in performance problems of these devices for individuals from certain population profiles, thus perpetuating structural inequalities in medical care. As presented by Jamali, evidence highlights the need to include diverse patient populations in the design and validation of medical devices [43], as biased data used to develop medical technologies is a common root cause of performance variation between racial and ethnic groups [59].

TABLE II. CURRENT REGULATIONS AND GUIDES WITH ACCESSIBILITY STANDARDS FOR MEDICAL DEVICES.

Name	Description
WCAG [32]	Web Content Accessibility Guidelines (WCAG)
ABNT NBR 17060:2022 [5]	Accessibility in mobile device applications - Requirements
ABNT NBR ISO 9241-171:2018 [33]	Ergonomics of Human-System Interaction Part 171: Software Accessibility Guidance
ABNT NBR IEC 60601-1- 11:2012 [31]	Electrical medical equipment Part 1-11: General requirements for basic safety and essential performance — Collateral Standard: Requirements for electrical medical equipment and electrical medical systems used in domestic healthcare environments.
ABNT NBR 9050:2021 [38]	Accessibility to buildings, furniture, spaces and urban equipment
Law N° 13.146/ 2015 [9]	Establishes the Brazilian law on the inclusion of people with disabilities.
Law N° 10.098/2000 [34]	It establishes general standards and basic criteria for promoting accessibility for people with disabilities or reduced mobility, and provides other measures.
Regulatory Standard NR 17. Ministry of Labour [35]	Brazilian Ergonomics Regulatory Standard.
Guidance & Resources ADA [2]	Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) regulations. Access to Medical Care for Individuals with Mobility Disabilities
Standards for Accessible Medical Diagnostic Equipment [36]	The Architectural and Transportation Barriers Compliance Board (Access Board or Board) is issuing accessibility standards for medical diagnostic equipment
Enforceable Accessible Medical Equipment Standards [37]	Developed by the National Council on Disability. Enforceable Accessible Medical Equipment Standards: A Necessary Means to Address the Health Care Needs of People with Mobility Disabilities

To consider accessibility in different stages of the technology life cycle is essential. Table III explains the activities carried out in each stage. Interdisciplinary involvement is also important in the process of incorporating new technologies, in order to ensure that the equipment to be incorporated meets the diversity of the population. Medical devices can also be racially or ethnically biased if design flaws lead to performance differences in patients from racial or ethnic minority groups. While these design flaws may be largely unintentional, every effort must be made to identify, mitigate and remove these biases so that they do not contribute to major health disparities in minority groups [59].

To mitigate accessibility problems in medical devices, different areas and professionals must be involved. Some actions and recommendations consist of raising awareness among health professionals about the accessibility problems faced by medical devices, taking population diversity into account in the process of technological development within a living lab ecosystem, and improving the regulatory requirements for devices.

With each innovation, new accessibility problems may arise. As such, it is critical to engage universal design principles from the earliest stages of the manufacturing process to ensure that inclusive devices are designed and accessible to all users, which can ultimately improve device usability, adherence and effectiveness [28]. Several emerging technologies are being increasingly used in

healthcare, such as artificial intelligence, augmented and virtual reality, Internet of Things, blockchain, among others. Inserting accessibility aspects from the beginning of development is crucial to developing accessible solutions. The diffusion of medical devices into Homecare is another challenge. It is necessary to establish and implement measures that aim to assist in the safety and ergonomics of these technologies for the most varied types and profiles of patients, from those with greater

technological skills to those with no aptitude at all [31]. It is necessary to establish strategies to guide patients in the use of these technologies and consider the diversity of users and context of use.

The limitations of this work consist of limited use of databases to search for evidence on accessibility in medical equipment, which may lead to the non-consideration of other work that addresses the topic; low number of works analyzing the accessibility of medical equipment considering the users' perspectives.

TABLE III. CURRENT REGULATIONS AND GUIDES WITH ACCESSIBILITY STANDARDS FOR MEDICAL DEVICES.

Life cycle stage	Main activities	Objective to consider accessibility
Design and development	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Innovation ideation; - Design, prototyping and development; - Compliance with regulations; - Regulations, good manufacturing practices, certification; - Production, distribution, storage, marketing. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Establishing project goals and requirements considering accessibility based on the problems identified by users; - Testing solutions with the user for validation, usability and accessibility analysis for developing solutions centered on user
Planning and selection	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Health Technology Assessment (HTA) - Analyzing the technologies, infrastructure and human resources to understand the need for incorporation - Checking that the technology has been regularized - Carry out economic analyses, total cost of ownership; - Specifying and select the technology - Purchasing process (bidding if necessary) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Meeting user needs and considering accessibility when specifying technology, check that technological development is user-centered and based on standards; - Consider accessibility principles and usability techniques to select and to incorporate into Health Technology Assessment;
Installation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Install the equipment in compliance with the manufacturer's regulations and recommendations 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Evaluate the accessible infrastructure to check the implications for users; - Understand the difficulties faced by users when interacting with the infrastructure;
Training	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Ongoing and periodic training program to ensure that operators are able to carry out their activities; - Drawing up and implementing good practice guidelines for the proper use of health technologies. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Train users to operate the technology properly; - Train users about accessibility; - Develop training focused on solving problems faced by users; - Develop accessible Good Practice materials for proper use;
Use	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Risk management - Draw up and implement standardized procedures and protocols for the use of technologies - Develop methodologies to ensure technological traceability - Analyze the history of failures and analyze the probable causes - Investigate the adverse events involved. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Understand the problems of using the technology and understand the impact of accessibility on the occurrence of adverse events. - Analyze the cause of failures incorporated into risk management in order to establish improvement strategies. - Analyze accessibility problems in order to establish specific strategies and improvements in new technological solutions.
Obsolescence, decommissioning and final disposal	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Developing and implementing procedures describing the criteria for decommissioning technology, taking into account the technical, operational, financial or strategic aspects of the establishment. - Execution of the activity by issuing a decommissioning report 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Analyze the effectiveness of using the technology and aspects evolving accessibility; - Evaluate the needs of the accessible technology to ascertain the need for technological replacement; - Researching technological advances that consider accessibility aspects for technologies with better usability.

V. CONCLUSION AND FUTURE WORK

This work highlighted accessibility problems involving medical devices. Through a rapid review of the literature, it was found that most technologies are inaccessible and/or absent within healthcare environments. The fundamentals of accessibility must be incorporated from the beginning of technological development, throughout the other stages of the life cycle of health technologies. This research reinforced the low number of publications involving accessibility assessment in medical devices, and highlights the need to conduct more research incorporating the diversity of user profiles in the development process to make technology management more inclusive and accessible for the entire population.

Due to the reality of the low amount of evidence and research conducted considering accessibility, for future work the Institute of Biomedical Engineering (IEB-UFSC) intends to carry out research carried out with users to highlight accessibility problems in medical equipment inserted in the Living Lab ecosystem, will feature integration with both patients and healthcare professionals, technology manufacturers, clinical engineering, architecture, and other areas and professionals involved. For that, usability techniques will be applied to explore more problems and establish strategies to improve the design of the medical equipment in health. To implement the Living Lab is essential to create an interdisciplinarity and collaborative Health Ecosystem, for the development of accessible and inclusive technologies for all people.

REFERENCES

- [1] M. Brandão and R. Garcia, "Analysis of Accessibility in Medical Devices in Health Technology Management", SMART ACCESSIBILITY 2024 : The Ninth International Conference on Universal Accessibility in the Internet of Things and Smart Environments. Barcelona, Spain. 2024.
- [2] Americans with Disabilities Act. ADA: *Access to Medical Care for Individuals with Mobility Disabilities. Guidance & Resources.* [Online]. Available from: <https://www.ada.gov/resources/medical-care-mobility/>
- [3] World Health Organization. WHO: *Global atlas of medical devices: WHO medical devices technical series.* [Online]. Available from: <https://iris.who.int/bitstream/handle/10665/255181/9789241512312-eng.pdf>
- [4] M. Brandão and R. Garcia, "Descriptive analysis of user-centered usability techniques to health technology management," CNIB 2020 National Congress of Biomedical Engineering, no. 43, Mexico, 2020.
- [5] Brazilian Association of Technical Standards. ABNT NBR 17060:2022 - Accessibility on mobile devices. Brazil. 2022.
- [6] Brazilian Association of Technical Standards. ABNT NBR ISO 9241-11:2021: Ergonomics of human-system interaction Part 11: Usability: Definitions and concepts. Brazil, 2021.
- [7] Brazilian Institute of Geography and Statistics (IBGE). *Continuous National Household Sample Survey aimed at people with disabilities.* 2023. [Online]. Available from: <https://www.gov.br/mdh/pt-br/assuntos/noticias/2023/julho/brasil-tem-18-6-milhoes-de-pessoas-com-deficiencia-indica-pesquisa-divulgada-pelo-ibge-e-mdhc>
- [8] World Health Organization. WHO: *Disability, Key facts.* 2023. [Online]. Available from: https://www.who.int/health-topics/disability#tab=tab_1
- [9] Law N°. 13,146, of July 6, 2015. [Online]. Available from: https://www.planalto.gov.br/ccivil_03/_ato2015-2018/2015/lei/13146.htm
- [10] M. Follette Story, E. Schwier and J. I. Kailes, "Perspectives of patients with disabilities on the accessibility of medical equipment: examination tables, imaging equipment, medical chairs, and weight scales," *Disability and health journal*, vol. 2, pp. 169–179, 2009, doi:10.1016/j.dhjo.2009.05.003.
- [11] O. V. Bitkina, H. K. Kim and J. Park, "Usability and user experience of medical devices: An overview of the current state, analysis methodologies, and future challenges," *International Journal of Industrial Ergonomics*, vol. 76, 2020, doi:102932. 10.1016/j.ergon.2020.102932.
- [12] Brazilian Association of Technical Standards. ABNT NBR IEC 62366:2016 Health products — Application of usability engineering to health products. Brazil, 2016.
- [13] R. Jeffrey and C. Dana, *Handbook of Usability Testing: how to plan, design, and conduct effective tests.* 2. ed. Indianapolis: Wiley, 2008.
- [14] F. E. Ritter, G. D. Baxter and E. F. Churchill, *Foundations for Designing User-Centered Systems.* London. 2014.
- [15] Brazilian Association of Technical Standards. ABNT ISO/TR 16982:2014: Ergonomics of human-system interaction — Usability methods that support the project user-centric. Brazil, 2014
- [16] A. C. Tricco, et al., "A scoping review of rapid review methods," *BMC Med*, vol. 13, 2015, doi:10.1186/s12916-015-0465-6
- [17] T. J. Lasserson, J. Thomas and J. P. T. Higgins, Chapter 1: Starting a review. In: J. P. T. Higgins, J. Thomas, J. Chandler, M. Cumpston, T. Li, Page MJ, Welch VA (editors). *Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions* version 6.1 (updated September 2020). Cochrane, 2020.
- [18] Ministry of Health. *Methodological guidelines: preparation of a systematic review and meta-analysis of comparative observational studies on risk factors and prognosis.* Department of Science and Technology. Brazil, 2014.
- [19] D. Moher, D. Moher, et al., "Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses: The PRISMA statement," *International Journal of Surgery*, vol. 8, pp. 336-341, 2010, doi:10.1016/j.ijsu.2010.02.007.
- [20] M. A. Morris et al., "Use of Accessible Examination Tables in the Primary Care Setting: A Survey of Physical Evaluations and Patient Attitudes," *J Gen Intern Med*, 2017.
- [21] R. Nancy, M. L. Breslin, M. Liang and S. Yee, "Physical accessibility in primary health care settings: Results from California on-site reviews," *Disability and Health Journal*, vol. 5, pp. 159-67, 2012.
- [22] L. I. Iezzoni, et al., "Use of Accessible Weight Scales and Examination Tables/Chairs for Patients with Significant Mobility Limitations by Physicians Nationwide," *Joint Commission journal on quality and patient safety*, vol. 47, pp. 615–626, 2021, doi:10.1016/j.jcjq.2021.06.005.
- [23] N. R. Mudrick, M. L. Breslin, J. Blackwell, X. Wang, and K. A. Nielsen, "Accessible medical diagnostic equipment in primary care: Assessing its geographic distribution for

- disability equity,” *Disability and health journal*, vol. 16, pp. 101425, doi:10.1016/j.dhjo.2022.101425.
- [24] N. Agaronnik, E. G. Campbell, J. Resselam, L. I. Iezzoni, “Accessibility of Medical Diagnostic Equipment for Patients With Disability: Observations From Physicians,” *Archives of physical medicine and rehabilitation*, vol. 100, pp. 2032–2038, doi:10.1016/j.apmr.2019.02.007.
- [25] M. Lester, D. Eidson, S. Blair, S. Gray, P. Sapp, F. J. Zupancic, B. C. Marshall, and A. Berlinski, “Cystic Fibrosis Foundation Nebulizer and Compressor Accessibility Survey,” *Respiratory care*, vol. 66, pp. 1840–1847, doi:10.4187/respcare.09197.
- [26] M. V. and M. M. Usulan, “Accessibility attributes of blood glucose meter and home blood pressure monitor displays for visually impaired persons,” *Journal of diabetes science and technology*, vol. 6, pp. 246–251, doi:10.1177/193229681200600206.
- [27] C. H. Fung, U. Igodan, C. Alessi, J. L. Martin, J. M. Dzierzewski, K. Josephson, B. J. Kramer, “Human factors/usability barriers to home medical devices among individuals with disabling conditions: in-depth interviews with positive airway pressure device users,” *Disabil Health*, vol. 8, pp. 86–92, Jan. 2015, doi: 10.1016/j.dhjo.2014.06.002.
- [28] B. Glenn, V. Tieppo Francio, B. D. Westerhaus, J. Goree, N. H. Strand, D. Sparks and E. Petersen, E, “Accessibility and Ease of Use in Neuromodulation Devices,” *Neuromodulation: journal of the International Neuromodulation Society*, vol. 27, pp. 584–588, doi:10.1016/j.neurom.2023.03.003.
- [29] B. C. Yankaskas, P. Dickens, J. M. Bowling, M. V. Jarman, K. Luken, K. Salisbury, J. Halladay and C. E. Lorenz, “Barriers to adherence to screening mammography among women with disabilities,” *Am J Public Health*, vol. 100, pp. 947–53, May. 2010, doi:10.2105/AJPH.2008.150318.
- [30] M. Ardehali; et al, “People With Disabilities & Medical Device Accessibility: Perspectives, Challenges, and the Role of Occupational Therapy Practitioners,” *The American Journal of Occupational Therapy*, 2023, doi:10.5014/ajot.2023.77S2-PO14.
- [31] Brazilian Association of Technical Standards. ABNT NBR IEC 60601-1- 11:2012: Electrical medical equipment Part 1-11: General requirements for basic safety and essential performance — Collateral Standard: Requirements for electrical medical equipment and electrical medical systems used in domestic healthcare environments. Brazil, 2012.
- [32] Web Content Accessibility Guidelines (WCAG). 2023. [Online]. Available from: <https://www.w3.org/TR/WCAG21/>
- [33] Brazilian Association of Technical Standards. ABNT NBR ISO 9241-171:2018. Ergonomics of Human-System Interaction Part 171: Software Accessibility Guidance.
- [34] Brazil Law n° 10.098/2000. General standards and basic criteria for promoting accessibility for people with disabilities or reduced mobility, and provides other measures. 2000.
- [35] Ministry of Labor. Ergonomics Regulatory Standards, NR 17. [Online]. Available from: <https://www.gov.br/trabalho-e-emprego/pt-br/acao-social/participacao-social/conselhos-e-orgaos-colegiados/comis-sao-tripartite-partitativa-permanente/normas-regulamentadoras/normas-regulamentadoras-vigentes/nr-17-atualizada-2023.pdf>
- [36] Architectural and Transportation Barriers Compliance Board. Standards for Accessible Medical Diagnostic Equipment. [Online]. Available from: <https://www.federalregister.gov/documents/2024/07/25/2024-16266/standards-for-accessible-medical-diagnostic-equipment>
- [37] National Council on Disability. Enforceable Accessible Medical Equipment Standards. [Online]. Available from: <https://www.ncd.gov/report/eames-report/>
- [38] Brazilian Association of Technical Standards. ABNT NBR 9050:2021. Accessibility to buildings, furniture, spaces and urban equipment.
- [39] D. M. Burton, M. G. Enigk and J. W. Lilly, “Blood glucose meters and accessibility to blind and visually impaired people,” *J Diabetes Sci Technol*, vol. 6, pp. 242–5, Mar. 2012, doi:10.1177/193229681200600205.
- [40] C. Macdonald, H. Lunt, M. Downie and D. Kendall, “How Satisfied Are Patients When Their Choice of Funded Glucose Meter Is Restricted to a Single Brand?” *J Diabetes Sci Technol*, vol. 11, pp. 1001–1006, Sep. 2017, doi:10.1177/1932296817693016.
- [41] H. K. Akturk, J. Snell-Bergeon and V. N. Shah, “Health Care Professionals' Perspectives on Use of Diabetes Technologies for Managing Visually Impaired Patients With Diabetes,” *J Diabetes Sci Technol*, vol. 17, pp. 1610–1613, Nov. 2023, doi:10.1177/19322968221101629.
- [42] L. Heinemann, D. Drossel, G. Freckmann and B. Kulzer, “Usability of Medical Devices for Patients With Diabetes Who Are Visually Impaired or Blind,” *J Diabetes Sci Technol*, vol. 10, pp. 1382–1387, Nov. 2016, doi:10.1177/1932296816666536.
- [43] H. Jamali, L.T. Castillo, C. C. Morgan, J. Coult, J. L. Muhammad, O. O. Osobamiro, E. C. Parsons and R. Adamson, “Racial Disparity in Oxygen Saturation Measurements by Pulse Oximetry: Evidence and Implications,” *Ann Am Thorac Soc*, vol. 19, pp. 1951–1964, Dec. 2022, doi:10.1513/AnnalsATS.202203-270CME.
- [44] E. R. Gottlieb, J. Ziegler, K. Morley, B. Rush and L. A. Celi, “Assessment of Racial and Ethnic Differences in Oxygen Supplementation Among Patients in the Intensive Care Unit,” *JAMA Intern Med*, vol. 182, pp. 849–858, Aug. 2022, doi:10.1001/jamainternmed.2022.2587.
- [45] S. E. K. Sudat, P. Wesson, K. F. Rhoads, S. Brown, N. Aboelata, A. R. Pressman, A. Mani and K. M. J. Azar. “Racial Disparities in Pulse Oximeter Device Inaccuracy and Estimated Clinical Impact on COVID-19 Treatment Course,” *Am J Epidemiol*, vol. 192, pp. 703–713, May. 2023, doi:10.1093/aje/kwac164.
- [46] Z. Vesoulis, A. Tims, H. Lodhi et al. “Racial discrepancy in pulse oximeter accuracy in preterm infants,” *J Perinatol*, vol. 42, pp. 79–85, 2022, doi:10.1038/s41372-021-01230-3.
- [47] N. R. Mudrick, J. Blackwell, M. L. Breslin and X. Wang, “Change Is Slow: Acquisition of Disability-Accessible Medical Diagnostic Equipment in Primary Care Offices over Time. *Health Equity*,” vol. 8, pp. 157–163, Mar. 2024, doi:10.1089/heq.2023.0155.
- [48] T. A. Ahmed, N. Bradley and S. Fenesan, “Dental management of patients with sensory impairments,” *Br Dent J*, vol. 233, pp. 627–633, Oct. 2022, doi:10.1038/s41415-022-5085-x.
- [49] K. Lakshmi and P. D. Madankumar, “Development of modified dental chair to accomodate both wheelchair bound patients and general population,” *Disability and Rehabilitation: Assistive Technology*, vol. 15, pp. 467–470, 2020, doi:10.1080/17483107.2019.1710775.

- [50] M. Nora, B. Alessandra, V. Jean-Noel, M. Martin, R. Jacqueline and B. Christophe, "A scoping review on dental clinic accessibility for people using wheelchairs," *Spec Care Dentist*, vol. 41, pp. 329–339, 2021, doi:10.1111/scd.12565.
- [51] H. Levy and R. Lena, "Tools and Equipment for Managing Special Care Patients Anywhere, Dental Clinics of North America," vol. 60, pp. 567-591, 2016, doi:10.1016/j.cden.2016.03.001.
- [52] M. Y. Ishaque, S. Rahim and M. H. Hussain, "Factors that limit access to dental care for person with disabilities: Access To Dental Care for Disabled," *Pak Armed Forces Med J*, vol. 66, pp. 230-34, Apr. 2016.
- [53] F. Rashid-Kandvani, B. Nicolau and C. Bedos, "Access to Dental Services for People Using a Wheelchair," *Am J Public Health*, vol. 105, pp. 2312-7, Nov. 2015, doi:10.2105/AJPH.2015.302686.
- [54] H. M. Gach, S. L. Mackey, S. E. Hausman, D. R. Jackson, T. L. Benzinger, L. Henke, L. A. Murphy, J. L. Fluchel, B. Cai, J. E. Zoberi, J. Garcia-Ramirez, S. Mutic and J. K. Schwarz, "MRI safety risks in the obese: The case of the disposable lighter stored in the pannus," *Radiol Case Rep*, vol. 14, pp. 634-638, Mar. 2019, doi:10.1016/j.radcr.2019.02.023.
- [55] E. M. Andresen, J. J. Peterson-Besse, G. L. Krahn, E. S. Walsh, W. Horner-Johnson and L. I. Iezzoni. "Pap, mammography, and clinical breast examination screening among women with disabilities: a systematic review," *Womens Health Issues*, vol. 23, pp. 205-14, Jul. 2013, doi:10.1016/j.whi.2013.04.002.
- [56] J. R. Pharr, "Accommodations for patients with disabilities in primary care: a mixed methods study of practice administrators," *Glob J Health Sci*, vol. 6, pp. 23-32, Oct. 2013, doi:10.5539/gjhs.v6n1p23.
- [57] F. R. Andiwijaya, C. Davey, K. Bessame, A. Ndong and H. Kupe, "Disability and Participation in Breast and Cervical Cancer Screening: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis," *Int J Environ Res Public Health*, vol. 19, pp. 9465, Aug. 2022, doi:10.3390/ijerph19159465.
- [58] N. Agaronnik, A. El-Jawahri and L. Iezzoni, "Implications of Physical Access Barriers for Breast Cancer Diagnosis and Treatment in Women with Mobility Disability," *J Disabil Policy Stud*, vol. 33, pp. 46-54, Jun. 2022, doi: 10.1177/10442073211010124.
- [59] M. W. Sjoding, S. Ansari and T. S. Valley, "Origins of Racial and Ethnic Bias in Pulmonary Technologies," *Annu Rev Med*, vol. 74, pp. 401-412, Jan. 2023, doi:10.1146/annurev-med-043021-024004.
- [60] E. Arana-Chicas, A. Kioumarsis, A. Carroll-Scott, P. M. Massey, A. C. Klassen and M. Yudell, "Barriers and facilitators to mammography among women with intellectual disabilities: a qualitative approach," *Disabil Soc*, vol. 35, pp. 1290-1314, 2020, doi:10.1080/09687599.2019.1680348.